

Introduction

The report you are about to read, contains statistics and explanations about the International IBO-projects in which the Dutch volunteers took part, in 2010.

Responds

335 Evaluation forms were sent in order to get an overview of the volunteers' experience of the project that they went to. Of these 335 forms, 192 were filled in and sent back by the Dutch volunteers. This means that 57% reacted positively on our request to fill out a questionnaire.

The report contains a chapter per organizing IBO-country

We have chosen for this way of presenting the report, so that you do not need to search for your projects. The questions that were asked had to be answered with a mark (for questionnaire, see page 5). The most positive mark is a 5 - 'I totally agree/very good', the worse mark is a 1 - 'I totally disagree/not good'. Apart from that, some marks could be explained with comments. The volunteers were also asked to give a general mark, based on their total IBO-experience, on a scale from 1 to 10. The average of this was a **7,9**. A mark to be proud of.

Report is based on impressions of volunteers

We consider the report as a good way to get an impression of the volunteers' view and opinion of the projects organized by our self and our international partners. But it expresses the volunteers' side of the story and therefore it is not the ultimate truth. The opinion of the project-responsible and views of the reader are very welcome, as the main goal of the evaluation is to learn from it. Therefore we would be very happy with your view and comments about the Dutch groups, their behavior and performance.

Thank you for your feedback and might you have any questions, we are happy to answer them.

IBO – Netherlands,
Nijmegen, October 2010


Jantien Beuman, Pascale Emons, Riekje Weijman

Dutch subscriptions / volunteers IBO-projects 2010

Counting on October 15, 2010, in total 323 Dutch volunteers took part in an IBO-project in 2010. The Dutch volunteers took part in 78 projects, organized by different European IBO-organizations. Some volunteers went to a project twice, one volunteer even went three times. This leads to a number of volunteers of 323, but to 335 times of participation at a project. We also expect 6 more volunteers for the project in October and November, but these volunteers are not included in this evaluation report.

Male / Female volunteers

When we look at the Dutch volunteers, we find a division between male and female:



Groups

In total 6 Dutch groups took part in 'group projects'. In total they were with 100 volunteers.


Age of the Dutch volunteers

Volunteers' age	Number
30 yrs and older	63
Younger than 30	260
Total	323


Social projects and building projects

Most Dutch volunteers took part in a building project.

A few took part in a social project or a partly social project, which were organized in: Troyan (Bulgaria), Luxor (Egypt), San Giorgio di Piano (Italy), Brezovica, Oborovo (Croatia), Agadir (Morocco), Ndiebel (Senegal).



Participation of volunteers 2005 - 2010



Questionnaire

In order to evaluate the projects, all volunteers received an evaluation form with questions about the project, accommodation, meals, group, journey and project information. These questions could be answered with marks from 1- 5.

In your evaluation, the bold numbers are the mean of the (more than one) volunteers evaluation report.

Marks:

5= very positive/ totally agree

4= positive / agree

3= blank / no opinion

2= negative / disagree

1= very negative/ totally disagree

Questions:

1. I support the goal of the project.
2. The work of the volunteers is useful.
3. IBO-support on this project is necessary.
4. There was enough contact with the target group (children, elderly, handicapped people etc).
5. There was enough work to do.
6. There were enough materials and tools to work with.
7. There was enough technical guidance
8. The work was done safely.
9. The work was practicable.
10. We were welcomed hospitable.
11. The accommodation was good.
12. We were informed well about the local situation (culture, safety, etc).
13. The meals were good.
14. There was enough contact with the local population.
15. The information that I received from IBO was clear.
16. My expectation fit reality.
17. Overall mark about participation on an IBO-project (**1 – 10**).

Projects organized by IBO-Austria

Austria and Latvia

	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Austria																	
Wenen	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	8
Graz	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	8
Graz	5	4	3	5	2	2	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	8
Mean	5	4,5	4	4,5	3	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4,5	8
Maria Langeegg	4	4	4	2	5	4	2	3	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	3	7
Latvia																	
Liepaja	4	4	3	1	4	3	4	3	3	5	5	5	5	4	3	5	8

Vienna

Nice project, no special remarks received from the volunteers. The volunteers told us that they liked the very kind welcome at arrival by Günther.

Graz

We have received positive evaluation reports. At some moments there was not enough work to do, but the volunteers feel that for next year it is useful to continue the project/work at some other houses.

Maria Langeegg

We have not received any special remarks about this project.

Liepaja

Nice project, the volunteer had no special remarks. She thinks that the women at the project do a good job.

Greece and Romania

	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Greece																	
Larissis	5	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	2	5	9
Romania																	
Reghin	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	2	3	8
Reghin	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	3	5	5	3	4	8
Mean	4	3,5	3	4	3	3,5	3,5	3,5	3,5	5	5	4	5	4,5	2,5	3,5	8

Larissis

The volunteers had a good time at this nice project in the middle of nowhere. A point of attention is that one volunteer mentions one Austrian volunteer that needed mentally help. The volunteers would have liked to know that in advance.

Reghin

The Dutch volunteers were only for a short time at the project, but they mention that they had a nice, unique experience.

Projects organized by IBO-Belgium and Her & Der Belgium

Cuba, Egypt, Rwanda and Senegal

	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Cuba																	
Havana	4	2	4	5	1	1	1	3	4	4	3	2	3	4	2	4	8
Havana	5	4	3	2	3	2	2	2	4	5	3	1	2	3	1	2	6
Havana	4	4	4	5	3	2	2	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	7
Havana	5	4	3	4	3	2	1	4	5	5	5	2	4	4	4	4	7
Mean	4,5	3,5	3,5	4,0	2,5	1,8	1,5	3,3	4,3	4,8	4,0	2,5	3,5	4,0	3,0	3,3	7,0
Egypt																	
Luxor	4	4	4	5	4	4	3	4	4	5	4	4	4	3	4	4	7
Rwanda																	
Matyazo Butare	5	4	5	3	4	4	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	9
Senegal																	
Ndiebel	5	4	3	5	5	3	4	5	4	5	4	4	2	4	4	4	8
Ndiebel	5	4	3	2	4	2	1	3	4	5	3	2	1	1	2	4	8
Mean	5	4	3	3,5	4,5	2,5	2,5	4	4	5	3,5	3	1,5	2,5	3	4	8

Havana

The Dutch volunteers had a nice time in Cuba. But according to them the group was too big and the program was a little bit unstructured. There was a lot unclear about the food, costs and expenses.

Luxor

The volunteer would have liked it more to stay in the village where the target group lived. The work was mainly social, with a little bit more technical guidance he could have done more technical work.

Matyazo Butare

The Dutch volunteer had a great time in Rwanda. He mentions, though, that the group was quite big.

Ndiebel

The volunteers note that the technical guidance needs to be improved. They are very much inspired by the personality of co-ordinator Masse who is very important for the project.

Morocco

	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Morocco																	
Tamri	5	3	5	4	2	1	2	2	4	5	4	4	2	5	4	4	8
Tamri	5	4	4	5	2	2	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	9
Mean	5	3,5	4,5	4,5	2	1,5	3	3	4	5	4	4	3	5	4,5	4,5	8,5
Agadir	5	3	5	5	3	1	2	1	2	5	5	5	5	5	1	1	7
Agadir	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	3	1	4	4	2	3	8
Agadir	5	4	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	3	4	9
Mean	5,0	4,0	4,3	4,7	4,0	3,0	3,7	3,0	3,7	5,0	4,0	3,7	4,7	4,7	2,0	2,7	8,0
Adar	5	4	5	3	3	4	2	3	4	5	3	4	4	5	2	4	7
Adar	4	3	3	4	2	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	3	3	6
Mean	4,5	3,5	4	3,5	2,5	4	3	3,5	4	5	3,5	4	4,5	5	2,5	3,5	6,5

Tamri

Some materials were not safe and there were not so many materials to work with. The local population was very nice and grateful, this was very satisfying for the volunteers. The volunteers wish that they could have done more. But altogether they had a very nice time at the project.

Agadir

There is a lack of information about the materials/games to play with for the children. It would be nice if the volunteers know this in advance.

Adar

Nice project, at this project is still a lot of social and building work to do. The Ramadan was a difficult period for the Dutch volunteers, because of cultural difference and change of atmosphere.

Belgium and France

	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Belgium																	
Gits	4	4	4	1	4	4	4	3	4	5	5	3	5	4	4	3	6
Gits	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	1	1	7
Mean	4,5	4	4	2,5	4	4	3,5	3,5	4	5	5	3,5	5	4,5	2,5	2	6,5
Frankrijk																	
Montavoix	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	10
Pouzy	5	5	5	1	5	5	4	4	4	5	3	4	5	4	4	5	9
Pouzy	3	3	2	1	5	5	4	4	4	5	3	4	5	4	2	3	8
Pouzy	4	4	5	2	5	4	4	5	4	5	3	4	5	3	2	4	7
Pouzy	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	1	3	5	9
Mean	4,3	4,3	4,3	1,3	5,0	4,8	4,3	4,5	4,3	5,0	2,8	4,3	5,0	3,0	2,8	4,3	8,3
La taniere des lutins	5	4	4	2	5	3	3	4	4	4	3	4	5	2	4	5	8
La taniere des lutins	5	5	2	1	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	2	4	4	8
La taniere des lutins	4	5	4	3	5	3	4	3	3	5	3	4	5	3	2	4	8
Mean	4,7	4,7	3,3	2,0	5,0	3,3	4,0	3,7	3,7	4,7	3,7	4,0	5,0	2,3	3,3	4,3	8,0
Le bosc couvert	4	4	2	3	5	5	3	5	4	4	4	4	5	3	4	4	8
Le bosc couvert	4	4	4	2	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	7
Le bosc couvert	2	2	5	2	4	4	2	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	8
Le bosc couvert	2	3	3	3	4	4	3	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	3	7
Mean	3,0	3,3	3,5	2,5	4,5	4,5	3,0	4,3	3,5	4,5	4,5	4,5	4,8	4,3	4,0	3,8	7,5

Gits (Her & Der)

The volunteers were surprised by the young students that joined the Her & Der project.

Montavoix

The volunteer has sent a very positive evaluation report. Montavoix is a nice project, the atmosphere in the group was nice, all very positive.

Pouzy

The two Dutch groups in Pouzy had a nice time together, although they mention that the big part of the group had some problems with the sanitary facilities.

One volunteer questions the necessity for volunteers at this project; it seems that the persons that coordinate the project are financially not in need.

The project description mentions a lake nearby, which was not there.

Le taniere des lutins

The volunteers were satisfied about the project. They have no special remarks.

Le bosc couvert

Nice group, but the volunteer mentioned to IBO-Netherlands a conversation with the people that run the project where they told him that they doubt whether the camping ground will be managed by handicapped people.

Very positive point: Frans and his family are very friendly and took good care of the volunteers.

Projects organized by IBO-Bulgaria (FAR)

Bulgaria

	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Bulgaria																	
Balgaravo	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	3	5	5	5	5	4	9
Balgaravo	4	4	4	4	5	3	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	7
Mean	4,5	4,5	3,5	4,5	5	4	4,5	4,5	4	5	3,5	5	5	5	4,5	4	8

Balgaravo

Good evaluation reports. Very nice, necessary project with friendly local people and nice coordination. The meals were very good. The Dutch volunteers did not mention any points of attention.

Projects organized by IBO-Czech

Czech

Czech republic	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Ledce	5	4	5	2	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	3	4	4	8
Ledce	5	4	5	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	4	5	4	9
Ledce	5	4	5	3	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	10
Mean	5	4	5	2,7	4	5	4,3	5	5	5	4	4,3	5	3,7	4,7	4,3	9

Ledce (Ledovec)

The Dutch volunteers have sent very positive evaluation reports. The project coordinators were very friendly and helpful. The meals and accommodation were very good. Happy volunteers.

Projects organized by IBO-Germany

Romania, Russia and Bulgaria

	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Romania																	
Dacia	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	9
Dacia	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	3	4	5	5	3	5	4	5	5	8
Mean	5	4,5	5	4,5	4,5	4	4,5	4	4,5	5	5	4	5	4,5	5	5	8,5
Stejarisu	5	5	2	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	3	5	8
Stejarisu	5	1	1	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	1	5	8
Stejarisu	5	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	3	4	2	2	4	4	8
Stejarisu	4	4	3	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	3	4	3	4	3	4	8
Stejarisu	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	2	4	5	4	3	4	9
Stejarisu	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	3	5	4	4	5	9
Stejarisu	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	3	8
Stejarisu	4	5	1	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	3	8
Stejarisu	4	1	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	1	4	9
Mean	4,6	3,6	2,7	4	4,4	4,9	4,6	4,6	4,8	4,9	3,8	4,4	4,3	4	3,1	4,1	8,3
Russia																	
Perm	5	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	2	3	9
Moskou	4	4	3	5	4	2	3	3	5	5	4	2	5	5	5	5	9
Moskou	5	5	5	5	3	2	1	3	4	5	5	2	5	5	3	3	8
Moskou	5	5	3	4	5	3	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	3	5	4	7
Mean	4,7	4,7	3,7	4,7	4	2,3	2,7	3,3	4,7	5	4,7	2,7	5	4,3	4,3	4	8
Bulgaria																	
Golshovo	1	3	5	2	2	3	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	7
Golshovo	3	4	3	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	8
Golshovo	4	4	3	4	5	4	3	2	3	5	4	3	5	4	4	4	7
Mean	2,7	3,7	3,7	3,3	4	3,7	3,7	3,3	4	5	4,3	4,3	5	4,3	4,3	4,3	7,3

Dacia

The project in Dacia every year is very high rated. The volunteers are very happy and satisfied about working at this project.

For the school that joined the project: we do not have evaluation reports from them, but the teacher has sent IBO-Netherlands the message that they were very satisfied about the project.

Stejarisu

The volunteers had a nice time at the project. Maybe next year we need to talk again about the work and the activities to be done.

Perm

We did not receive any special remarks from the volunteers.

Moskou

The volunteers had a nice time. About the project information: they would have liked a little bit more information about the project in advance in order to prepare themselves.

Golshovo

The volunteers were impressed by the nice location of the project. One point of attention is the fleas in the beds.

Germany

	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Germany																	
Mulfingen	4	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	5	4	3	5	3	4	4	7
Mulfingen	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	8
Mulfingen	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	9
Mulfingen	4	5	3	3	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	8
Mulfingen	5	4	3	3	2	5	4	5	5	5	3	4	4	4	5	4	8
Mean	4,2	4,2	3,4	3,8	3,2	4,4	4	4,4	4,4	5	4,2	4,2	4,8	4	4,2	4,6	8
Wickersdorf	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	5
Greiz	5	4	4	3	5	5	4	2	4	5	4	5	5	5	2	3	8
Greiz	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	7
Mean	4,5	4	4	3	4	4	3,5	3	4	5	4	4,5	4,5	4,5	3	3,5	7,5
Dothen	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	3	3	4	3	4	4	8
Buchenwald	5	5	4	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	10
Buchenwald	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	3	5	9
Mean	5	4,5	4,5	3,5	4,5	5	4,5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	9,5

Mulfingen

The volunteers mention that the lack of work in the first week was well compensated for, by the second week when there was a lot of work to do.

Wickersdorf

No special remarks mentioned.

Greiz

The volunteers had to leave at the second day of the festival which they helped to organize. Next year it would be nice if the project was one day longer, so that IBO-Netherlands can organize the departure of the volunteers after the festival.

Dothen

Satisfied volunteer, no special remarks.

Buchenwald

This project made a big impression on the volunteers. The project was mainly symbolic rather than necessary. It could also be a 10 or 14 day-project.

Estonia, Latvia and Hungary

	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Estonia																	
Rongu	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	3	3	4	2	5	2	5	5	3	7
Rongu	3	3	2	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	2	5	4	5	4	2	5
Rongu	3	2	2	4	2	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	7
Rongu	3	3	2	4	3	2	3	4	4	5	2	5	4	5	3	4	5
Rongu	3	4	4	5	2	2	2	4	2	5	3	2	5	5	4	2	8
Rongu	5	4	4	4	4	2	2	3	4	4	3	4	2	4	4	4	8
Mean	3,5	3,3	3,2	4,3	3,2	2,7	2,8	3,5	3,3	4,3	2,5	4	3,3	4,7	3,8	3	6,7
Latvia																	
Talsi	5	5	4	3	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	3	4	4	8
Talsi	4	4	3	3	5	4	4	4	4	5	3	3	5	2	4	4	9
Talsi	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	10
Talsi	4	5	5	3	5	4	5	3	5	5	4	4	5	3	5	5	8
Talsi	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	3	4	5	3	3	4	8
Mean	4,4	4,6	4	3,4	4,8	4	4,2	4	4,4	4,8	3,8	4	4,8	2,8	4,2	4,4	8,6
Hungary																	
Tatarszentgyörgy	5	4	2	3	2	2	2	3	4	5	5	3	4	4	5	4	9
Tatarszentgyörgy	3	5	5	5	4	2	3	2	4	4	5	2	5	5	4	2	8
Mean	4	4,5	3,5	4	3	2	2,5	2,5	4	4,5	5	2,5	4,5	4,5	4,5	3	8,5

Rõngu

Unfortunately, the volunteers are not so positive about the project. The work was not satisfying for them and the food/meals were not enough and very simple. The atmosphere in the group was nice, which made it an acceptable holiday, but the overall remark is that the volunteers question whether the project is necessary.

Talsi

Except for the leaking tents the volunteers were very satisfied about the project. Mainly positive remarks, also positive remarks about the project coordinator Klaus.

Tatarszentgyörgy

The evaluation forms tell us that the project, the coordination, meals and the volunteer-group were all very nice. One point of attention: One volunteer questions in what way it was a political project (a lot of political groups, television etc).

Ukraine

	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Ukraine																	
Drohobych	5	4	4	5	3	3	3	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	9
Drohobych	4	4	5	5	3	2	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	3	9
Drohobych	4	4	3	5	2	3	3	4	3	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	7
Drohobych	4	3	4	5	4	3	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	3	3	8
Mean	4,3	3,8	4	5	3	2,8	3,3	4	4,3	5	4,8	4,5	4,8	4,8	3,3	3,8	8,3

Drohobych

The volunteers had a nice time at the project. Very nice local people who took very good care of the volunteers; good meals, good accommodation. One volunteer mentions that he was okay with the religious background of the project, but he would have liked it if it was mentioned in the project description.

Projects organized by IBO-Italy

Italy

	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Italy																	
Biancavilla		3	3	3	4	3	2	3	3	4	5	4	5	5	4	2	7
Biancavilla	5	3	2	2	4	3	1	3	3	5	5	3	4	1	4	2	7
Mean	5	3	2,5	2,5	4	3	1,5	3	3	4,5	5	3,5	4,5	3	4	2	7
Bologna	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	9
Cortemilia	5	4	3	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	8
Cortemilia	5	3	4	4	2	3	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	9
Cortemilia	5	3	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	5	5	4	4	3	4	3	7
Cortemilia	3	2	3	5	3	2	4	3	5	5	4	5	5	5	3	3	7
Mean	4,5	3	3,3	3,8	3	3	3,5	3,3	3,8	5	4,8	4,5	4,8	4,3	3,5	3,5	7,8
Ostellato/Libolla	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	9
Ostellato/Libolla	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	9
Mean	4	4	3,5	4	4	4	4	4,5	4,5	5	4,5	4,5	5	5	3,5	4,5	9

Biancavilla

The volunteers had a nice time and that the family is kind, but the family is also chaotic in their way of coordinating the work.

Bologna

IBO-Netherlands has received a very positive evaluation report about the project in Bologna. No special remarks mentioned by the volunteer.

Cortemilia

The project coordinator and the accommodation were nice. The volunteers mention that there was not always enough work to do. One volunteer told us that the contact person that is mentioned at the project description was not the correct person to call.

Ostellato

Very positive evaluation, the volunteers had a nice experience that they will never forget.

	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Italy																	
San Giorgio di Piano	4	2	4	3	1	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	2	8
San giorgio di Piano	5	3	4	5	3	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	9
San Giorgio di Piano (soc)	4	2	2	3	2	3	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	2	6
San Giorgio di Piano (soc)	4	3	5	4	2	4	3	5	5	4	5	2	5	3	4	3	7
Mean	4,3	2,5	3,8	3,8	2	4,3	3,5	4,3	4,5	4,3	4,8	3,8	4,8	4	4	2,8	7,5
Vicchio	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	3	4	5	4	3	5	3	4	4	9
Vicchio	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	3	4	4	4	4	4	9
Vicchio	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	2	4	9
Vicchio	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	8
Vicchio	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	9
Mean	4,4	4,4	4,6	4,6	4,8	4,6	4	4	4,2	5	4,2	4,4	4,8	4,2	3,8	4,4	8,8
Nomadelfia	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	8
Nomadelfia	3	4	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	8
Nomadelfia	5	4	4	5	4	4	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	3	9
Nomadelfia	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	10
Mean	4,5	4,3	4	5	4	4,5	4,5	4,5	5	5	5	4,8	5	5	3,8	4,3	8,8

San Giorgio di Piano

The volunteers wrote in their evaluation reports that they had a nice holiday, but there was not so much work for them to do. In the first building project they did not build a lot, and in the second project 12 volunteers were working with 11 children. This way, the volunteers mention that they did not feel very useful at the project, they wished to have done more useful work.

Vicchio

The Dutch volunteers have sent very positive evaluation reports.

Nomadelfia

Every year we receive the same positive evaluations from the Dutch IBO-volunteers that go to Nomadelfia. Nice project, good local organization, the volunteers are very enthusiastic about the project.

Projects organized by IBO-Netherlands

Bulgaria and Romania

	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Bulgaria																	
Troyan	5	3	3	5	2	1	2	5	5	4	5	2	3	5	4	3	7
Troyan	5	4	4	3	3	1	2	3	3	4	4	3	2	5	4	3	8
Troyan	4	4	3	4	3	3	1	4	3	5	5	2	4	5	4	3	7
Troyan	3	2	1	4	2	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	5	5	5	3	7
Troyan	3	2	1	4	2	3	2	4	3	4	5	3	5	4	4	3	7
Troyan	4	1	2	4	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	2	4	4	2	1	5
Troyan	3	1	1	5	1	1	1	3	3	5	5	3	5	5	1	3	8
Mean	3,9	2,4	2,1	4,1	2	2	1,9	3,7	3,4	4,3	4,6	2,6	4	4,7	3,4	2,7	7
Romania																	
Giurcani	4	4	4	2	3	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	2	2	3	8
Giurcani	5	4	3	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	9
Giurcani	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	3	4	5	5	3	4	4	4	4	8
Mean	4,7	4,3	3,7	3,7	4	4,7	4,3	3,7	4	4,7	5	4	4,3	3,7	2,7	4	8,3

Troyan

The project in Troyan was not planned, but a substitute for another (canceled) project. Therefore the staff was not so much prepared for volunteers. There were not so many jobs to do, and also the social activities were unclear. The group had to leave the project one week early because of a lack of work. But still the group had a nice time and the locals were very friendly.

Giurcani

There was not so much work to do, but the project coordinator was very friendly and enthusiastic which made it a nice project.

Bosnia-Herzegovina and Croatia

	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Bosnia																	
Banja Luka	4	4	4	4	4	3	2	3	3	4	2	2	4	3	4	4	8
Banja Luka	3	3	5	5	5	4	3	5	5	3	5	3	5	5	3	3	9
Mean	3,5	3,5	4,5	4,5	4,5	3,5	2,5	4	4	3,5	3,5	2,5	4,5	4	3,5	3,5	8,5
Croatia																	
Brezovica	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	10
Brezovica	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	4	5	5	5	3	5	3	5	5	10
Brezovica	3	4	2	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	4	5	9
Brezovica	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	4	4	4	5	3	5	5	5	5	8
Brezovica	5	5	4	5	4	3	2	4	5	2	5	3	5	4	4	5	8
Brezovica	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	5	4	8
Brezovica	5	5	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	9
Brezovica	5	5	5	5	5	4	3	3	3	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	8
Brezovica	5	4	4	5	5	3	3	4	5	3	5	4	5	4	5	5	9
Mean	4,8	4,7	4,3	4,9	4,9	3,7	3,4	4,2	4,6	4,1	4,9	4	4,6	3,9	4,8	4,8	8,8
Oborovo	5	4	5	5	5	3	3	3	4	5	5	4	5	3	4	5	9
Oborovo	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	3	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	9
Oborovo	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	9
Oborovo	3	3	3	3	5	4	4	3	3	5	4	4	4	5	4	3	7
Oborovo	4	4	4	5	3	4	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	4	9
Oborovo	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	9
Mean	4,5	4,2	4,3	4,7	4,5	4	3,8	3,7	4,2	5	4,8	4,3	4,8	4	4,3	4,3	8,7

Banja Luka

The project in Banja Luka has been evaluated at the IBO-Netherlands office. The work had to be changed to another location because of the background of the first project (work not necessary) and communication with the local population can be improved by a translator.

Brezovica

Very positive evaluation forms. Sometimes communicating with the local staff was difficult, but this could be solved.

Oborovo

Positive evaluation forms. The volunteers were very satisfied and got a good feeling about the project. No special remarks. One group was too big (11 persons), 8 persons is better.

Kosovo

Kosovo	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Arllat	3	3	3	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	1	1	1	5	1	3	8
Arllat	5	4	2	3	5	5	5	3	4	5	2	2	4	3	5	4	8
Arllat	5	4	4	2	5	1	1	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	7
Arllat	5	5	4	3	5	1	1	5	3	4	3	2	2	4	2	3	6
Arllat	3	3	3	2	5	2	2	2	2	5	2	3	2	2	3	4	8
Arllat	2	5	3	4	5	1	2	4	3	5	4	2	3	4	3	3	8
Arllat	5	4	4	5	5	1	1	5	5	5	3	3	4	5	1	3	6
Arllat	4	4	3	4	5	1	1	4	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	4	8
Arllat	4	4	4	4	5	3	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	3	4	8
Arllat	4	4	4	3	4	2	1	3	4	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	7
Mean	4	4	3,4	3,4	4,9	2,2	2,3	3,7	3,7	4,4	2,8	2,6	2,8	3,7	2,7	3,4	7,4

Arllat

The volunteers mention that the work activities and communication with the project coordinator can be improved at some points. This would lead to better technical guidance and organization.

The expectation is that after the next (last) group in 2011 this project will be finished.

Moldova and Russia

	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Moldova																	
Balti	4	4	5	1	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	1	7
Balti	5	2	3	1	5	4	4	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	1	1	7
Balti	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	5	5	4	5	5	3	2	7
Balti	5	5	3	2	5	4	3	4	4	5	5	3	4	2	4	5	8
Balti	4	4	3	1	5	3	2	4	4	4	4	3	5	1	4	3	7
Balti	4	3	2	1	5	4	1	4	3	2	5	1	5	1	3	2	5
Balti	4	4	3	1	5	4	1	4	4	3	4	2	3	1	5	2	5
Balti	4	4	3	2	5	2	3	4	4	4	5	4	3	4	4	4	7
Mean	4,3	3,8	3,1	1,6	4,6	3,5	2,8	3,8	4,1	4,1	4,8	3,4	4,4	3	3,5	2,5	6,6
Russia																	
Ryschkovo	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	10
Ryschkovo	4	3	4	5	2	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	2	4	8
Ryschkovo	5	4	4	4	4	2	2	1	3	5	5	3	5	5	3	5	9
Ryschkovo	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	5	4	4	4	4	1	4	8
Ryschkovo	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	3	4	5	5	4	5	4	3	3	9
Mean	4,6	4	4,2	4,6	4	3,8	3,6	3	4	5	4,8	4,2	4,8	4,6	2,4	4,2	8,8
Taganrog	4	4	5	5	4	4	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	9
Taganrog	5	4	4	5	3	2	2	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	8
Taganrog	5	5	5	5	3	3	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	9
Mean	4,7	4,3	4,7	5	3,3	3	2,7	4,3	4	5	5	5	5	5	3	4,3	8,7
Pskov	5	5	5	1	4	2	5	3	5	5	3	1	4	3	2	2	7
Pskov	5	5	5	2	5	3	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	3	4	6
Mean	5	5	5	1,5	4,5	2,5	4,5	3,5	4,5	5	3,5	2,5	4	3,5	2,5	3	6,5

Balti

The volunteers mention that the project coordinator is very nice and friendly.

Though, the volunteers felt that the work was monotonous and boring, they would have liked more change.

Another point of attention is that the children were on holiday. The volunteers regret that, they would have liked to see the children more often. Also there was no contact with the local population.

Ryschkovo

According to the Dutch volunteers IBO-Netherlands knew in advance about the change of the project location. They would have liked it if they knew about it in advance. The (new) project itself was very nice. The volunteers were satisfied.

Taganrog

The technical guidance needs to be improved. Very nice people, the volunteers felt that they were part of a big family.

Pskov

The volunteers mention that it is necessary to have more, better materials to work with. The project coordinators were very nice and friendly.

Projects organized by IBO-Poland

Poland

	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
Poland																	
Olsztyn	2	3	1	2	3	3	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	3	4	8
Olsztyn	2	2	2	2	1	1	4	2	4	5	5	2	5	2	5	2	7
Mean	2	2,5	1,5	2	2	2	4	3,5	4,5	5	5	3	5	3	4	3	7,5
Wroclaw	3	4	3	1	5	4	3	4	4	5	4	3	4	2	2	3	8
Wroclaw	5	5	5	2	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	8
Wroclaw	5	4	5	4	4	3	3	4	5	5	3	4	4	3	4	4	8
Wroclaw	5	2	5	4	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	9
Wroclaw	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	5	5	2	3	5	3	5	3	7
Wroclaw	5	5	5	1	4	2	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	3	9
Wroclaw	5	5	5	5	4	3	2	4	3	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	9
Mean	4,4	4,0	4,4	2,7	4,1	3,3	3,0	4,0	4,6	5,0	3,9	4,3	4,7	3,3	4,3	4,0	8,3
Mikoszow	4	5	5	2	3	4	3	3	4	5	5	4	4	3	4	4	7
Mikoszow	5	5	3	3	5	1	3	2	3	5	5	3	5	3	3	1	7
Mikoszow	5	4	4	3	2	2	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	3	5	2	8
Mikoszow	5	4	4	3	5	3	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	3	3	8
Mikoszow	5	4	4	1	5	3	2	2	3	4	4	4	5	3	3	4	6
Mikoszow	4	3	3	2	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	2	2	3	3	8
Mikoszow	5	4	5	2	5	5	2	4	4	5	5	3	5	5	4	4	10
Mean	4,7	4,1	4,0	2,3	4,1	3,0	2,9	3,1	3,6	4,7	4,7	3,7	4,4	3,3	3,6	3,0	7,7
Mikoszow	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	4	5	5	4	3	4	2	4	3	9
Mikoszow	5	3	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	1	2	2	2	6
Mikoszow	4	3	4	3	3	2	3	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	6
Mikoszow	5	3	5	2	2	1	2	2	3	5	3	4	2	2	3	2	8
Mean	4,5	3,3	4,3	3,3	3,3	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5	3,5	3,3	2,5	2,3	3,3	2,5	7,3

Olsztyn

The Dutch volunteers wrote about this project that the accommodation and meals were very good. But they also mention that there were not so many tools to work with and the group was too big for the necessary work activities.

The workshops that are mentioned in the project description, were not for the volunteers to join. The volunteers regret this. It is better to take it out of the project description.

Wroclaw

Nice project, good coordination of the work and very friendly coordination by Robert/Tratwa organization. Sometimes there were too many volunteers available while there was not always enough work to do. This was solved very well by Jeroen. According to the volunteers this is a project that can be continued the next (few) years.

A point for attention: the volunteers had to sleep too close to the workplace. This resulted in sleeping in a very dusty room. Also sharing one shower with 14 persons, is not very practical.

Mikoszow

The students from the group of Zwolle felt that they could have done more difficult building jobs. The evaluation has been sent to Jeroen by e-mail/phone.

The group from Rotterdam was very satisfied about the project.

Ukraine

Ukraine	1. Goal	2. Project is useful	3. Project is necessary	4. Contact with target group	5. Enough work to do	6. Enough materials	7. Technical guidance	8. Safety at work	9. Work was practicable	10. Hospitality	11. Accommodation	12. Info local situation	13. Meals	14. Contact local population	15. IBO-information	16. Expectations compared to reality	17. Overall mark (1-10)
L'viv	5	3	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	1	4	1	3	2	7
L'viv	5	4	4	4	3	4	4	2	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	9
L'viv	4	4	4	4	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	3	7
L'viv	3	4	4	3	3	5	5	5	3	4	4	2	4	3	1	2	6
Mean	4,3	3,8	3,5	3,3	2,8	3,8	3,8	3,3	3,5	4,3	4,3	2,8	4	3	2,5	2,8	7,3

L'viv

It seems that the project mainly has a social function. The locals were very nice and friendly. The coordination of the activities can be improved. The main reason for this was the communication: an English translator is necessary. The volunteers also ask for a adjustment in the project description: the work was mainly social and they would have been happier when they knew about the distance between the project and the city of L'viv in advance.